

CLEVELAND, OH 44114

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/605,723 10/22/2003 Mark A. Matko 28679/05112 2722 24024 **EXAMINER** 7590 08/25/2005 CALFEE HALTER & GRISWOLD, LLP RAMIREZ, RAMON O 800 SUPERIOR AVENUE ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER **SUITE 1400**

> 3632 DATE MAILED: 08/25/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/605,723	MATKO ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		RAMON O. RAMIREZ	3632	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).				
Status				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 October 2003.			
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ T	his action is non-final.		
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims				
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	 4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 17-21 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-9,11,12 and 14-16 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 10 and 13 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 			
Application Papers				
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 22 October 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s)				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/9/04. 			Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PT	O-152)

Art Unit: 3632

Detailed Action

This is the first Office Action corresponding to original filing. The application contains 21 claims.

Information Disclosure Statement

Receipt is acknowledged of Information Disclosure Statement filed July 9, 2004, which has been reviewed by the Examiner.

Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a) because they fail to show the through holed on mounting plate (30) as described on page 18, paragraph 60 of the specification. Any structural detail that is essential for a proper understanding of the disclosed invention should be shown in the drawing. MPEP § 608.02(d). Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement

Art Unit: 3632

sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claims 1-9, 11, 12, and 14-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Hokugoh (Pat No 6,484,994). The patent to Hokugoh discloses an apparatus for mounting a display (3), a mounting plate (42) having a fastener portion defining a plurality of mounting positions (45a-d), a base connection (47) and fastener openings (46a,b). Please see Fig 7. Applicant is claiming the subcombination of a mounting plate and a plurality of bases; however, the bases are not a positive element of the invention. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. In a claim drawn to a process of making, the intended use must result in

Art Unit: 3632

a manipulative difference as compared to the prior art. See *In re Casey*, 370 F.2d 576, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and *In re Otto*, 312 F.2d 937, 939, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963). In the instant application the patent to Hokugoh is capable of being used with different bases. If Applicant wants to recite the bases as positive elements of the invention, then the claims have to be drawn to the combination of the mounting plate and the bases. Applicant must be aware, that since the bases are different from each other, a restriction of species if possible.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 10 and 13 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 17-21 are allowed.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: none of the art of record discloses a mounting plate used with an extender plate, or having base connection comprising pin channels, neither a kit with different bases all capable of being used with the same mounting plate.

Conclusion

Art Unit: 3632

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Lu (6,581,893) and Wu (20040052038) disclose display adjustment devices.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to RAMON O. RAMIREZ whose telephone number is (571) 272-6821. The examiner can normally be reached on MONDAY-FRIDAY, IST FRIDAY OFF.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor ROBERT OLSZEWSKI, can be reached on (571) 272-6788.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 3632

A shortened period for response to this Office Action expires THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action.

ROR August 23, 2005 RAMON O. RAMIREZ Primary Examiner Art Unit 3632